SECURITY EXECUTIVE AGENT
DIRECTIVE 2
(REVISED)

USE OF POLYGRAPH IN SUPPORT OF PERSONNEL SECURITY DETERMINATIONS
FOR INITIAL OR CONTINUED ELIGIBILITY FOR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED
INFORMATION OR ELIGIBILITY TO HOLD A SENSITIVE POSITION

(EFFECTIVE: 01 SEPTEMBER 2020)

A. AUTHORITY: The Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004; Executive
Order (EO) 12968, Access to Classified Information, as amended; EO 13467, Reforming
Processes Related to Suitability for Government Employment, Fitness for Contractor
Employees, and Eligibility for Access to Classified National Security Information, as
amended; EO 13526, Classified National Security Information; EO 13549, Classified
National Security Information Program for State, Local, Tribal and Private Sector Entities;
and other applicable provisions of law.

B. PURPOSE: This Security Executive Agent (SecEA) Directive establishes policy and assigns
responsibilities governing the use of polygraph examinations conducted by agencies in
support of personnel security vetting for initial or continued eligibility for access to classified
information, or eligibility to hold a sensitive position. Polygraph examinations conducted for
any reason other than those stated above, to include examinations in connection with criminal
law investigations and suitability determinations are not covered by this Directive. Nothing
in this Directive alters any requirements imposed by the Employee Polygraph Protection Act
Office Accountability Act (3 U.S.C. §§ 401 and 414), the Congressional Accountability Act
(2 U.S.C. §§ 1301 and 1314), the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. § 552a) or Executive Branch
agencies’ System of Records Notices (SORNs) published in the Federal Register; or any
other provision of law related to the use of polygraph examinations (e.g. Title 10 U.S.C. §
1564a); nor may implementation of this policy conflict with the requirements of any such law
or SORNs. Nothing in this Directive should be construed to limit the legal authorities of the
Director of National Intelligence (DNI) or the heads of agencies or the role of the Director of
the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) under Civil Service Law and EOs related to the
use of polygraph in connection with appointment in the competitive service.

C. APPLICABILITY: This Directive applies to any Executive Branch agency that conducts
polygraph examinations in support of personnel security vetting for initial or continued
eligibility for access to classified information or eligibility to hold a sensitive position, seeks
to expand an existing polygraph program for such purpose, or intends to establish a new
program for such purpose.
D. DEFINITIONS: For the purpose of this Directive, the following definitions apply:

1. “Agency:” Any “Executive Agency” as defined in Section 105 of Title 5, (U.S.C.), including the “military departments”, as defined in Section 102 of Title 5, U.S.C., and any other entity within the Executive Branch that comes into possession of classified information or has positions designated as sensitive.

2. “Classified national security information” or “classified information:” Information that has been determined, pursuant to EO 13526, any predecessor or successor order, or the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, to require protection against unauthorized disclosure.

3. “Counterintelligence Scope Polygraph” (CSP): A polygraph examination that includes counterintelligence (CI) topics concerning involvement in espionage, sabotage, or terrorism, unauthorized disclosure or removal of classified information (including to the media); unauthorized or unreported foreign contacts, and deliberate damage to or malicious misuse of U.S. Government information or defense systems.

4. “Expanded Scope Polygraph” (ESP): A polygraph examination that includes all CI topics of the CSP, as well as the topics of criminal conduct, drug involvement, and falsification of security questionnaires and forms. (The ESP examination has also been referred to as a Full-Scope Polygraph (FSP) or an Expanded-Scope Screening (ESS) examination in some organizations.)

5. “Personnel Security Vetting:” The investigation of an individual in accordance with applicable Federal Investigative Standards, the results of which are evaluated and adjudicated in accordance with applicable adjudicative guidelines to render a determination of the individual’s eligibility for initial or continued access to classified information or eligibility to occupy a sensitive position.

6. “Sensitive position:” Any position within or in support of an agency in which the occupant could bring about, by virtue of the nature of the position, a material adverse effect on the national security regardless of whether the occupant has access to classified information, and regardless of whether the occupant is an employee, military service member, or contractor.

7. “Specific Issue Polygraph” (SIP): An examination conducted to address an individual issue of adjudicative concern such as espionage, sabotage, unauthorized disclosure of classified information, criminal conduct, etc. This examination may be used in conjunction with CSP or ESP.

E. POLICY:

1. Agency heads are responsible for establishing and maintaining an effective program to ensure that access to classified information or placement in a sensitive position is clearly consistent with the interests of the national security. When an agency head elects to use the polygraph for personnel security vetting as defined in Section D, this policy will apply, consistent with the EPPA and other applicable laws and regulations.
2. CSP examinations represent the baseline for the application of polygraph in support of personnel security vetting. Heads of agencies may authorize the use of the CSP for the purposes stated above in Section B when deemed to be in the interest of national security. CSPs may be used in support of determinations of initial eligibility for access to classified information and initial eligibility to hold a sensitive position, thereafter in support of reinvestigations or continuous evaluation, and administered at periodic or aperiodic intervals.

3. SecEA and National Center for Credibility Assessment (NCCA) notification is required prior to an agency initiating a program using CSP or ESP examinations in support of personnel security vetting.

4. Nothing in this Directive prohibits agencies currently conducting ESP examinations in support of personnel security vetting from continuing to conduct ESP examinations, nor does this Directive alter an agency head’s authority to continue an existing CSP program or institute a new CSP or ESP program, consistent with guidance in Section E.3.

5. SIP examinations may be conducted to attempt to resolve known or developed information of adjudicative significance.

6. An ESP or CSP examination conducted in accordance with this Directive, which is an element of a favorable national security adjudication and is consistent with the type and age of examination required by the receiving agency, shall be reciprocally accepted.

7. Heads of agencies shall adhere to the following principles in administering their personnel security polygraph programs:

   a. Polygraph examination types and their completion dates shall be recorded in either Scattered Castles, the Joint Personnel Adjudication System within the Department of Defense, or the Central Verification System database within U.S. Office of Personnel Management or successor databases, unless authorized by the SecEA to withhold information from the database for national security purposes.

   b. A signed consent form explaining the purpose and uses of any information disclosed as part of the examination shall be obtained from the examinee prior to each polygraph session.

   c. Refusal, without reasonable cause (as determined by the agency) to undergo, or failure to cooperate during a polygraph examination or purposeful non-cooperation, including confirmed polygraph countermeasures, may result in an additional review and a potential adverse security determination regarding the individual’s eligibility for access to classified information or eligibility to hold a sensitive position.
d. Questions used in polygraph examinations, except for technical and diagnostic questions, shall cover the topic areas for the specific type of polygraph as defined in Section D and shall be limited to adjudicatively relevant national security matters.

e. Agencies conducting polygraph examinations shall act upon and share relevant reported information of law enforcement, security, or CI concern with appropriate law enforcement, security, and CI officials consistent with informed consent pursuant to Section E.7 (b).

f. All polygraph programs shall include standardized training and educational certification of polygraph examiners to ensure consistency, fair process, and reciprocity. All examiners shall receive initial training from NCCA and undergo educational certification processes as well as advanced or refresher polygraph training as defined by their agency consistent with NCCA standards.

8. All polygraph programs shall undergo biennial NCCA quality assurance audits.

9. The DNI, as the SecEA, and the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security (USD(I&S)) or their designees shall co-chair an advisory board, which shall serve as a forum to support developing and implementing policy related to the use of polygraphs for personnel security vetting. The advisory board shall be coordinated in partnership with USD(I&S) and other agency heads with established personnel security polygraph programs, or their designees.

F. RESPONSIBILITIES:

1. The SecEA shall:

   a. Establish guidelines and minimum standards for personnel security polygraph programs, and ensure its consistent implementation across agencies.

   b. Establish the notification and NCCA review process for agencies seeking to initiate a polygraph program using the CSP or ESP.

   c. Establish the notification process for agencies incorporating an ESP examination into an existing CSP program.

   d. Coordinate an advisory board in partnership with USD(I&S) and other agency heads with established personnel security polygraph programs, or their designees.

2. The NCCA shall serve as the office of primary responsibility for polygraph examiner education and training, continuing education certification, audits of agencies’ quality assurance programs against federal standards, and credibility research.

3. Heads of agencies shall:

   a. Notify SecEA and NCCA of their intention to implement a CSP or ESP program, and ensure consistency with NCCA certification, education and training requirements (notification of program expansion is not required).
b. Implement the provisions of this Directive within their respective organization as applicable, ensuring compliance with EPPA.

c. Ensure policies and procedures governing the collection and use of polygraph-derived information are in accordance with all applicable laws and EOs and include appropriate protections for privacy and civil liberties.

d. Ensure compliance with the standards of polygraph program supervision and quality control consistent with NCCA guidelines.

e. Coordinate polygraph-related research with NCCA to enhance the effectiveness of the polygraph and other credibility assessment programs.

f. Maintain agreements with NCCA, as needed, to ensure reimbursement to NCCA consistent with existing appropriations laws.

G. EFFECTIVE DATE: This Directive becomes effective on the date of signature.

John Ratcliffe
Director of National Intelligence
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