

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The above-described changes to the FBI targeting procedures pose no obstacle to a finding by the Court that the FBI targeting procedures are “reasonably designed” to “ensure that any acquisition authorized . . . is limited to targeting persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States” and to “prevent the intentional acquisition of any communication as to which the sender and all intended recipients are known at the time of the acquisition to be located in the United States.” 50 U.S.C. § 1881a(d)(1). [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Furthermore, as the Court has previously noted, before the FBI targeting procedures are applied, NSA will have followed its own targeting procedures in determining that the user of the facility to be tasked for collection is a non-United States person reasonably believed to be located outside the United States. See Docket No. [REDACTED]. The

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Id. The Court has previously found that [REDACTED] [REDACTED] proposed for use in connection with Certifications [REDACTED] are reasonably designed to ensure that the users of tasked selectors are non-United States persons reasonably believed to be located outside the United States and also consistent with the Fourth Amendment. See Docket No. [REDACTED]. [REDACTED]. It therefore follows that the amended FBI targeting procedures, which provide additional assurance that the users of tasked accounts are non-United States persons located outside the United States, also pass muster.

2. The Amended NSA Minimization Procedures

The most significant change to the NSA minimization procedures regards the rules for querying the data that NSA acquires pursuant to Section 702. The procedures previously approved by the Court effectively impose a wholesale bar on queries using United States-Person identifiers. The government has broadened Section 3(b)(5) to allow NSA to query the vast majority of its Section 702 collection using United States-Person identifiers, subject to approval

pursuant to internal NSA procedures and oversight by the Department of Justice.²¹ Like all other NSA queries of the Section 702 collection, queries using United States-person identifiers would be limited to those reasonably likely to yield foreign intelligence information. NSA Minimization Procedures § 3(b)(5). The Department of Justice and the Office of the DNI would be required to conduct oversight regarding NSA's use of United States-person identifiers in such queries. See id.

This relaxation of the querying rules does not alter the Court's prior conclusion that NSA minimization procedures meet the statutory definition of minimization procedures. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] contain an analogous provision allowing queries of unminimized FISA-acquired information using identifiers – including United States-person identifiers – when such queries are designed to yield foreign intelligence information.

See [REDACTED] In granting [REDACTED] applications for electronic surveillance or physical search since 2008, including applications targeting United States persons and persons in the United States, the Court has found that the [REDACTED] meet the definitions of minimization procedures at 50 U.S.C. §§ 1801(h) and 1821(4). It follows that the substantially-similar

²¹ The government is still in the process of developing its internal procedures and will not permit NSA analysts to begin using United States-person identifiers as selection terms until those procedures are completed. June 28 Submission at 4 n.3. In addition, the government has clarified that United States-person identifiers will not be used to query the fruits of NSA's upstream collection. Aug. 30 Submission at 11. NSA's upstream collection acquires approximately 9% of the total Internet communications acquired by NSA under Section 702. Aug. 16 Submission at 2.

querying provision found at Section 3(b)(5) of the amended NSA minimization procedures should not be problematic in a collection that is focused on non-United States persons located outside the United States and that, in the aggregate, is less likely to result in the acquisition of nonpublic information regarding non-consenting United States persons.

A second change to the NSA minimization procedures is the addition of language specifying that the five-year retention period for communications that are not subject to earlier destruction runs from the expiration date of the certification authorizing the collection. See NSA Minimization Procedures, §§ 3(b)(1), 3(c), 5(3)(b), and 6(a)(1)(b). The NSA minimization procedures that were previously approved by the Court included a retention period of five years, but those procedures do not specify when the five-year period begins to run. The change proposed here harmonizes the procedures with the corresponding provision of the [REDACTED] minimization procedures for Section 702 that has already been approved by the Court. See [REDACTED] Minimization Procedures at 3 (¶ j).

The two remaining changes to the NSA minimization procedures are intended to clarify the scope of the existing procedures. The government has added language to Section 1 to make explicit that the procedures apply not only to NSA employees, but also to any other persons engaged in Section 702-related activities that are conducted under the direction, authority or control of the Director of NSA. NSA Minimization Procedures at 1. According to the government, this new language is intended to clarify that Central Security Service personnel conducting signals intelligence operations authorized by Section 702 are bound by the procedures, even when they are deployed with a military unit and subject to the military chain of

command. The second clarifying amendment is a change to the definition of “identification of a United States person” in Section 2. The new language eliminates a potential ambiguity that might have resulted in the inappropriate treatment of the name, unique title, or address of a United States person as non-identifying information in certain circumstances. *Id.* at 2. These amendments, which resolve any arguable ambiguity in favor of broader application of the protections found in the procedures, raise no concerns.

3. The Amended CIA Minimization Procedures

The CIA minimization procedures include a new querying provision [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The new language would allow the CIA to conduct queries of Section 702-acquired information using United States-person identifiers. All CIA queries of the Section 702 collection would be subject to review by the Department of Justice and the Office of the DNI. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], the addition of the new CIA querying provision does not preclude the Court from concluding that the amended CIA minimization procedures satisfy the statutory definition of minimization procedures and comply with the Fourth Amendment.²²

The amended CIA minimization procedures include [REDACTED]

²² The Court understands that NSA does not share its upstream collection in unminimized form with the CIA. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] raises no concerns in the context of the CIA minimization procedures.

[REDACTED]

The government also has added [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] It likewise raises no Fourth Amendment problem. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Finally, a new provision [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] The Court likewise sees no problem with the addition

[REDACTED] to the CIA minimization procedures.

D. The Effect of the Government's Disclosures Regarding NSA's Acquisition of Internet Transactions

Based on the government's prior representations, the Court has previously analyzed NSA's targeting and minimization procedures only in the context of NSA acquiring discrete communications. Now, however, in light of the government's revelations as to the manner in which NSA acquires Internet communications, it is clear that NSA acquires "Internet

Fourth Amendment.

For the reasons set forth below, the Court finds that NSA's targeting procedures, as the government proposes to implement them in connection with MCTs, are consistent with the requirements of 50 U.S.C. §1881a(d)(1). However, the Court is unable to find that NSA's minimization procedures, as the government proposes to apply them in connection with MCTs, are "reasonably designed in light of the purpose and technique of the particular [surveillance or physical search], to minimize the acquisition and retention, and prohibit the dissemination, of nonpublicly available information concerning unconsenting United States persons consistent with the need of the United States to obtain, produce, and disseminate foreign intelligence information." 50 U.S.C. §§ 1801(h)(1) & 1821(4)(A). The Court is also unable to find that NSA's targeting and minimization procedures, as the government proposes to implement them in connection with MCTs, are consistent with the Fourth Amendment.

1. The Scope of NSA's Upstream Collection

NSA acquires more than two hundred fifty million Internet communications each year pursuant to Section 702, but the vast majority of these communications are obtained from Internet service providers and are not at issue here.²⁴ Sept. 9 Submission at 1; Aug. 16 Submission at Appendix A. Indeed, NSA's upstream collection constitutes only approximately

²⁴ In addition to its upstream collection, NSA acquires discrete Internet communications from Internet service providers such as [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Aug. 16 Submission at 2; Aug. 30 Submission at 11; see also Sept. 7, 2011 Hearing Tr. at 75-77. NSA refers to this non-upstream collection as its "PRISM collection." Aug. 30 Submission at 11. The Court understands that NSA does not acquire "Internet transactions" through its PRISM collection. See Aug. 16 Submission at 1.

9% of the total Internet communications being acquired by NSA under Section 702. Sept. 9 Submission at 1; Aug. 16 Submission at 2.

Although small in relative terms, NSA's upstream collection is significant for three reasons. First, NSA's upstream collection is "uniquely capable of acquiring certain types of targeted communications containing valuable foreign intelligence information."²⁵ Docket No. [REDACTED]

Second, the Court now understands that, in order to collect those targeted Internet communications, NSA's upstream collection devices acquire Internet transactions, and NSA acquires millions of such transactions each year.²⁶ Third, the government has acknowledged that, due to the technological challenges associated with acquiring Internet transactions, NSA is unable to exclude certain Internet transactions from its upstream collection. See June 1 Submission at 3-12.

In its June 1 Submission, the government explained that NSA's upstream collection devices have technological limitations that significantly affect the scope of collection. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

²⁵ [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

²⁶ NSA acquired more than 13.25 million Internet transactions through its upstream collection between January 1, 2011, and June 30, 2011. See Aug. 16 Submission at 2; see also Sept. 9 Submission at 1-2.