

(Page 1 of 18)

Message of hope and rejoicing to our people in Egypt

(1) In the name of Allah, praise to him, and prayers and peace upon the Messenger of Allah, his family and friends, and whoever supported him.

To all Muslim brothers in the world, Peace be upon you, God's mercy and blessings

Thereafter,

In the last seminar, I covered part of the answer to the first question, which is: What is the reality? And today, with Allah's assistance- I will finish the discussion about the reality in Egypt. But before I continue describing this reality, I would like to deviate from the context of this message (message of hope and rejoicing to our people in Egypt), and mention an important and dangerous issue, which is the protection of Muslim blood, which the Prophet, God's prayers and peace upon him said: "The end of the world is easier for Allah than the killing of Muslims" (Footnote 1) Some operations have been attributed to the Mujahidin, regardless of whether they are true or false, in which Muslims were attacked in their mosques, markets, or gatherings. I say, regardless of the accuracy or falseness of relating these operations to the Mujahidin, that my brothers and I in Qa'idat al-Jihad group acquit ourselves from these operations, and we denounce them whether they were conducted by the Mujahidin or other groups.

This issue was mentioned previously (Footnote 2) by Emir al-Mu'minin al-Mullah Muhammad 'Umar Mujahid - God preserve him-, as well as Shaykh Usama Bin Ladin, God preserve him (Footnote 3), Shaykh 'Atiyatallah, God preserve him (Footnote 4), and Shaykh Abu Yahya al-Libi God preserve him (Footnote 5). I also touched on this issue before (Footnote 6), but Shaykh Usama Bin Ladin -God preserve him- has assigned me again to emphasize this issue. Therefore, I advise all the Mujahidin to take into their consideration the rules of the Islamic law and the interest of all Muslims before conducting any Jihadi operation, and to remember that we declared Jihad to gain the acceptance of Allah the Almighty, which cannot be obtained without following his Law and abiding by its regulations.

To be very careful when planning for any operation, to avoid injuring innocents whether they are Muslims or not, except when it is necessary (This term could be used against us and understood wrongly, therefore I suggest deleting it and leaving the sentence after it, but it should be saved there as I did), or when a mistake happens unintentionally.

Footnote 1: Extracted by al-Tirmidhi and corrected by al-Albani)

(Page 2 of 18)

And they should also pay attention when attacking the enemies who use Muslims or other innocents as human shields to avoid hitting them, except when it is necessary for Jihad ( Obvious and clear), or when there is a mistake that happened unintentionally, and should avoid expanding in the issue of human shield. I ask Allah that all our work will be right and complete to him.

Going back to the message of hope and rejoicing to our people in Egypt; as I talked in the last seminar about the reality of the ruling regime in Egypt, I mentioned that it is the reality of deviating from Islam. I also talked about the first sign of corruption in the ruling regime, which is the ideological corruption, and I explained that the Egyptian regime is a prejudiced, absolute, (dictatorial) and secular regime, then I explained how it was established. I also mentioned that Egypt was an Ottoman Empire State, where the scholars controlled the duty of the promotion of virtue and the prohibition of vice. Then I referred to the French Campaign, and I explained that it was a campaign that was created from a secular doctrine that has Christian and Zionist tendencies. Then I talked about the introduction of secular laws during the ruling regime of Muhammad 'Ali and his sons.

I also talked about the British occupation in Egypt, and I mentioned the position of the senior scholars of the Islamic university in Cairo (al-Azhar) towards Khedive Tawfiq, and their fatwa that he was apostatized from the religion for seeking the help of the British (I suggest changing this phrase by the following term: "For his allegiance to the British", which is true, because seeking assistance does not lead to apostasy, and it is possible that this expression will cause problems). I also talked about the cunning way that the British used to rule over Egypt, and this is the same way that our enemies, especially the Crusaders, use in administering our countries' affairs today. Then I mentioned that the British have participated in ruining

the ruling regime in Egypt by establishing the partisanship and secular State which pretended to be a democratic and independent State, whereas the truth is that the State was directed by the spears and guns of the occupier. I also mentioned that the British have pursued this through many efforts, and the first effort was to ruin the legislative system (Footnote 7).

Today I will start- with Allah's help- by talking about the second endeavor that the British have pursued, to ruin the ruling regime in Egypt, which is granting Egypt a fake independence (Footnote 8). When the British forces entered Egypt, they left Khedive Tawfiq and his government as a puppet government that reports nominally to the Ottoman Empire, but the administering of all issues was in the hands of the British commissioner. Among those famous commissioners was Lord Cromer, who was assigned as a British Commissioner in 1883, and stayed in this position for twenty four years practicing his enormous power as the actual ruler of Egypt, which is the same role that the American Ambassador is practicing today in Egypt.

Cromer transformed Egypt into a country that is exploited for the benefit of Britain, despite the existence of the Khedive and the Egyptian government, which was transformed into a tool for serving the British interests. Therefore, the relevance is not in creating a government that has a president or a king, but it is in whether this government is managing its affairs freely and independently? Or is it just a masquerade government for serving the forces of the occupier? And could this government - which claims to be

(Page 3 of 18)

an Islamic government such as Saudi Arabia or a government that claims not to fight Islam such as the government in Egypt -, with its dependence and subjection to the will of the foreign invader-(regardless of other reasons) - could this government be considered as a legal and independent government? Or is it a government that is loyal to the infidel foreign invader? And therefore it is not legitimate.

And if all the movements that are affiliated with Islam and the exponents (scholars) who claim that they guide the Muslims have acknowledged these kinds of governments, does this mean that they have fulfilled the fidelity that Allah has obliged them to fulfill? Or that they have deceived the Muslims and misled them? And are they among the Muslims whom Allah said about them the following "From all worshipers, the scholars fear Allah, he is the cherished (precious) and forgiving", and Allah be exalted

said about them "There should be a nation from you, who calls for the best and issue orders for the virtuous and prohibit the forbidden, they are the successful", or are they from the Muslims whom Allah said about them "Allah has accepted the allegiance of the people of the holy books and advised them to explain it to people and not to keep it as a secret, but they threw it behind their back (discarded it) and exchanged it for cheap price, what a bad exchange" or are they from the Muslims whom Allah said about them: "People who were assigned to carry the old testament, but they did not recognize its value are like the donkey that carries book, are like the people who denied the words of Allah, Allah does not guide the tyrants"?

Let's go back to the conversation about Cromer and the British ruling regime over Egypt and their transforming the Egyptian government into a tool for serving the interests of Britain. One of the most significant examples of that is their use of the Egyptian army to eliminate the Mahdi movement in Sudan.

In 1896, Britain sent a campaign consisted of many British and Egyptian divisions commanded by Lord Kitchener. After many battles, the members of the Mahdi movement fought courageously and proved they were brave, showing gallantry and courage in confronting an army that was superior in weapons and ammunition. After these battles, Kitchener and his Anglo-Egyptian army confronted the army of the Caliphate 'Abdallah in the crucial Karari battle, in Northern Omdurman in SEP 1898.

The Anglo-Egyptian army, which was armed with Maxim guns and Enfield rifles, was able to defeat the army of the Caliphate that was armed with lances and swords. The Anglo-Egyptian army, that was composed of twenty five thousand soldiers, was able to defeat the army of the Caliphate that consisted of fifty thousand soldiers (I suggest deleting this sentence), and the Anglo-Egyptian army was able to wipe out the soldiers of the Mahdi army using machine guns, killing eleven thousand and injuring sixteen thousand, whereas the casualties of the Anglo-Egyptian army were forty eight soldiers.

We should pause on the Karari battle and examine it carefully. What happened in this battle continues still today. For example, who helped the Americans to siege Iraq? Who assisted them to attack Iraq? Who assisted them to invade Afghanistan? Who blockades Gaza today? And who chases the Mujahidin and detains and tortures them on behalf of USA? Aren't the corrupted regimes in Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, Iraq, Jordan, Pakistan and Algeria doing all that? Haven't these regimes and others

provided all the support to the Americans and the Westerners in their new Crusade against Islam in the name of war against terrorism?

(Page 4 of 18)

What was done by the Egyptian army under the command of Lord Kitchener resembles what the Egyptian army is doing today under the command of Husni Mubarak. The Egyptian army that killed the followers of al-Mahdi army in the Karari battle is the same army that blockaded Iraq, and provided the forces invading Afghanistan and Iraq with supplies and fuel, and opened its airports and ports for the aircraft of the Crusaders and their soldiers, and also opened the Suez canal for their fleets to destroy the Muslims in Afghanistan, Pakistan and Iraq. Although the faces and names have changed, the facts, tragedies and betrayals have not. The white Kitchener is gone, but the black Kitchener has come. This is one point.

The other point is: What happened in Karari has happened again- and is still happening- throughout our Islamic world for approximately the last two centuries. Throughout the span of the Islamic world, the Crusader forces in their imperialistic campaign were facing a courageous resistance, but the superiority in the weapons settled the battle for the invading Crusaders.

So, why did we fall behind on the arts of fighting and its science (strategies)? And why are they ahead of us? It is a very important question, because it summarizes the reasons for our defeat, for the occupation and for our enslavement by the Western Crusaders and Zionist forces.

We fell behind for many reasons- Maybe Allah will help me to mention all of them in another time. One of these reasons is our political weakness and corruption, which exhausted our power in the internal fighting and destroyed our economy. Another reason is our engagement in long arguments and our exaggeration in practicing Sufism and believing in myths, without paying attention to the natural sciences. Another reason is the control of the West over us and over our resources and wealth, preventing us from properly exploiting them in order to progress and build a self sustained power.

Conclusion: In this stage, we will not reach the level of technological progress that the West has reached, as it is ahead of us by distances and stages for many reasons that we should

study in order to answer the following question that was addressed previously: Why did we fall behind and why they are ahead of us?

But what is important to me now - to make it short - is to address another question which is: How can we overcome this gap between us and the Western world?

The difference between the West and us in the field of technology -and therefore the military field as well- has become immense, and if we continue to use what was imposed on us from weapons and methods and fields of fighting, we will stay slaves to the West, which imposes its influence and control through its military power.

So in this stage, if we cannot manufacture weapons like the weapons of the Crusader West, we can destroy its complicated industrial and economic system, and exhaust its forces that fight without faith until they escape. Therefore, the Mujahidin had to create new methods that no one from the West can think about, and one of the examples of this creative thinking is using the airplane as a powerful weapon, like what had happened in the blessed attacks in Washington, New York and Pennsylvania (Footnote 10).

(Page 5 of 18)

Also, one of the outcomes after the fall of the Mahdi movement in Karari battle was the implementation of a bilateral regime in Sudan, which has left its hateful traces -still today- in Sudan, and we cannot understand the problems of Sudan generally, and the problem of the South of Sudan specifically, unless we study the Bilateral regime.

Briefly, the Bilateral regime was the result of an agreement prepared by Cromer to rule over Sudan, and it was called the agreement of the bilateral ruling (Egyptian and British), in which a decision was made to have the British elect a general ruler of Sudan, who will be appointed by the Khedive of Egypt. The ruling regime was Bilateral in name, but British in decision making and actions, and it lasted for 60 years.

During the period of the Bilateral regime, the British made the South of Sudan a closed zone by a law (Law of closed zones) that restricts the entering of the Northern Sudanese people and the Egyptians to the South unless for official missions or with special permissions, whereas it granted total freedom of

movement to the Europeans in order to enter and move around the South. It also prevented the Southerners from copying the Northerners in their costumes or lifestyle. In addition, all Arab and Muslims were evicted from the South, the Arabic language and Islamic names were barred, communication was limited only to English, the Islamic rituals and traditions were eradicated, the government severely punished anyone who spoke in Arabic and practiced Islamic rituals, and a wide scope for missionary activities was opened. With all these new implementations, this law planted the seed that separated the South of Sudan from its North (Footnote 11).

In the beginning of World War I, when Turkey announced that it entered the war on the side of Germany, Britain announced in 1914 that Egypt was under its protection, and it expelled Khedive 'Abbas II (the second) and appointed his uncle Husayn Kamil 'Ali as the King of Egypt, and it granted him the title "Sultan", in attempt to mislead the public with the belief that Egypt got its independence from the Ottoman Sultan, and therefore it cut the informal relations with the Ottoman Empire (Footnote 12).

In an attempt by Britain to contain the escalating anger of the people after World War I, it announced - in MAR 1922- that Egypt was an independent Kingdom ruled by King Fu'ad I (Footnote 13), but it kept its right to intervene in Egypt's affairs to protect its interests, meaning that it took back what it gave previously.

With the escalating danger of World War II in 1935, Italy invaded Ethiopia, and this threatened the supremacy of Britain over Northern and Eastern Africa, therefore Britain initiated the negotiation with Egypt for issuing an agreement to protect the interests of Britain, then the British brought al-Wafd party to rule, and created an agreement with al-Wafd party government in 1936, which

(Page 6 of 18)

created an Anglo-Egyptian military coalition that gave the permission - (later) to Egypt to join the League of Nations, to open its independent embassies abroad, and gave Britain the right to leave its forces at the Suez Canal, not to exceed ten thousand soldiers during peace time (Footnote 14).

It is obvious, from what was previously briefly mentioned, that Britain was the actual ruling power during its occupation of

Egypt, and its interests were prevailing, but it hid this by granting a fake independence to the Egyptian government, which is the same policy that the Western Crusader powers under USA command are practicing against our Ummah in most of the Muslim countries, which is a fake independence and a real dependency.

As I mentioned before, one of the methods that Britain used to wreck the ruling regime in Egypt was by ruining the legislative system and granting fake independence to Egypt.

And here, I point to the third method that Britain used to wreck the ruling regime in Egypt, which was founding the nationalist and jingoistic state in Egypt.

Britain endeavored to generally fragment the state of the Caliphate into national states, specifically to turn Egypt into a nationalist and jingoistic state. One of its methods to disintegrate the State of the Caliphate (Footnote 15) is by inciting al-Sharif Husayn Bin 'Ali, the Sharif of Mecca to declare revolution against the Ottoman Empire, by supplying him with money and British weapons; therefore he declared revolution against the Ottoman Empire in 1916. In the same year, the British signed the Sykes-Picot agreement with France and Russia, in order to divide what was left from the Ottoman Empire.

Another method that Britain used to ruin the ruling regime in Egypt was issuing protection agreements with the leaders of the Gulf, Kuwait, and 'Abd-al-'Aziz Al Sa'ud, and in the first seminar I referred to some of these agreements.

Also, one of its methods was taking Palestine from the Ottoman Empire and giving it to the Jews. The story about how Britain took Palestine from the Ottoman Empire and gave it to the Jews is long, and it goes back to the 19<sup>th</sup> century, but one of the significant examples for that is the famous promise (agreement) of Balfour then its withdrawal from Palestine and handing the reins of power to the Jews (Footnote 16).

Regarding Britain's efforts to establish the National State in Egypt (Footnote 17), I mentioned previously that Egypt was actually separated from the Ottoman Empire after being occupied by Britain. It was officially separated in World War I, and Britain forced Egypt to be under its protection. Britain was not satisfied by this, and it also separated Egypt from Sudan by issuing the agreement of the Bilateral ruling regime in 1898, then it strove to divide Sudan by the law of (Law of Closed Zones).



This Western Crusader policy of fragmenting and dividing the Islamic world is still ongoing today, as Iraq is being divided into three parts: The North which is Kurdish and is actually independent, The Center which is Sunni, and the South which is Shia. As for Sudan, the South has been separated from the North.

(Page 7 of 18)

And the efforts are ongoing, to divide the rest of it by supporting the separatists in Darfur, al-Nubah Mountain, and the eastern areas. As for Saudi Arabia, the plan is to divide it into three parts: Eastern, Central and Western.

As for Egypt, they are hoping to divide it into two parts: Coptic in the South with the capital of Asyut, and Muslim in the North. This by supporting the Orthodox minority and pretending that they are being treated unjustly, and ignoring the assaults that their Church commits against Muslims.

Before the end (The end of this series (or part)) I would like to touch on this important and dangerous issue, in which the danger increases and the flame escalates everyday in Egypt. It is the issue of the transgressions of the Coptic Orthodox Church, its cruelty and transition to a government within a government -or if we want to be accurate- a government over a government, and the result of this cruelty was the explosion that took place in All Saints Church in Alexandria.

First, I would like to clarify that Jama'at Qa'idat al-Jihad has nothing to do with what had happened in All Saints Church in Alexandria.

(After thinking, what I will go with is the following: I will wait a little bit, hoping to receive internet files to know more about the issue, I mean who was behind this operation, and I don't suggest disappointing our brothers as they have tried hard (made all the efforts), and today the Egyptians spoke about accusing Jaysh al-Islam in Gaza... and I suggest that if it is necessary to add this sentence, then it should be a little bit soft such as the following: Jama'at Qa'idat al-Jihad didn't issue orders to destroy the church in Alexandria, or we can say: This operation was conducted by a group of people who are free, zealous for Islam, do not accept injustice and don't remain silent to what is happening to their Muslim sisters... but we wish that the target would not be the Church... or sentences similar to that, and the intention is to achieve the goal of:

A- Not to weaken the determination of the brothers who worked hard, as we urged them. Rather, we should not forget to commend them.

B- The goal of directing our brothers not to attack the churches and other similar sanctuaries.

C- Intimidate the criminals... and Allah is the knowledgeable.

The governmental agencies, the trumpets of the Church and the secular groups are trying to imagine the situation in Egypt as if it reached the peak because of the bombing of the All Saints Church in Alexandria, whereas - in reality- the incidents are still escalating, because the assault of the Coptic Orthodox Church still continues. The Coptic church explained neither the fate of Wafa' Constantine, nor did it released Kamilyah Shahatah, and it did not reveal the truth about the fate of others (From those women) who were mentioned by the media and the sites of the information net, and Allah is the knowledgeable about whoever was not mentioned .

(Page 8 of 18)

Therefore, the situation is still escalating, and what is distressing is that the people who helped to escalate the situation are crying today about its escalation, to deny responsibility and appear innocent. The first group who was responsible for escalating the situation is the leadership of the Coptic Orthodox Church under the command of Nazir Jayyid, also known as Reverend (Bishop) Shenouda the Third. He was in charge of the Papacy in the early 70s, and since then he has not stopped spreading his thoughts that the Muslims have occupied Egypt and should be evicted from it, just as when they were evicted from Spain, and that the Coptic Orthodox have the right to establish their independent state. It does not stop - by supporting the emigrant Copts and their organization- crying about unjust treatment that the Egyptian Copts get, according to his allegation.

Many seculars or those who are not associated with al-Qa'ida have talked about the role that Nazir Jayyid or Reverend Shenouda played, even before the establishment of al- Qa'ida. Muhammad Hasanayn Haykal has referred to it in his book (Autumn of Anger), and judge 'Abd-al-Ghaffar Muhammad has also referred to it in his point of view on the big issue of Jihad, followed by tens of books, writers and analysts who are not associated

with Qa'idat al-Jihad organization, some of whom oppose the Islamic ideology, and among them there are Orthodox and non-Orthodox Copts.

The assaults of Reverend Shenouda and his aides against Muslims' feelings and their Shrines have increased since the incidents of al-Zawiyah al-Hamra' neighborhood in Cairo, until today. The list is long and there is no need to bring it up, but who can forget the continuous strengthening of the Christians by the Americans and their threat of it (Footnote 18)? Who can forget the play called "I was blind, but I can see now" that was played at the theatre of Mary Jirjis Church in Alexandria in 2005. I don't know if this is the same church that the explosion took place at or not? And who can forget the thousands of compact disks for the play that were distributed, that caused the riots that followed it. And who can forget the endorsement of Reverend Shenouda and his Church of Husni Mubarak in the last presidential elections, which brings back the memories of the alliance of The Church in the Middle Ages with the emperors and kings, to oppress the public, which led to the creation of the French Revolution and the rejection by the West of the Church.

Reverend Shenouda, who claims that the Holy Spirit descends on him and guides him, endorsed and pledged allegiance to the biggest of the Zionist-Arabs and one of the most corrupt rulers in Egypt, and 'Abdallah Bin al-Mubarak was right, God's prayers and mercy upon him, when he said:

Religions ruined only the Kings, their friends and their monks (I wish you could delete this poetry). Who can forget the insult of Reverend Bishwi - Deputy of Reverend Shenouda- to the Qur'an, and his presumptions that Muslims are guests in Egypt, then the issue of the Christian women who were converted, then Reverend Shenouda created for them the courts for inspections, and prisons for torturing and brainwashing.

(Page 9 of 18)

The Pope Aghbiyus, Archbishop of Muwas Monastery, also announced that Kamilyah Shahatah "Her mind was being washed", and that she and Wafa' Qustantine cannot be shown to the public unless they are "Fixed", and the church is working on "Washing the brain that was being washed" (Footnote 19).

He urges the priests in the name of the Bible, but they call to what is prohibited in the book.

Oh Jesus, your mission is to spread Mercy and Love on all mankind, as well as peace and infallibility.

You are the balm for all pains in this world, but we have much pain because of you.

The second element that is responsible for escalating the situation is the ruling regime of Husni Mubarak, who is the biggest Arab Zionist, whom Pope Shenouda took advantage of when he recognized Mubarak's weakness and psychological complex that was reflected in his desperate efforts to keep the presidency for his son. Therefore Reverend (Patriarch) Shenouda continued putting more pressure on Mubarak by threatening him with the US, as the US will intervene whenever there is a crisis, and accordingly Mubarak had to submit and he is still yielding. Although Sadat was a deviant, traitor and collaborator with the Americans, he couldn't stand the interference of Pope Shenouda, so he dismissed him from the Papacy, and imposed house arrest on him. But Husni Mubarak was more deviant and a bigger traitor, and both of them are, as he practiced his arrogance and tyranny on the people of Egypt and Gaza, and he submitted and gave up to Reverend Shenouda and America.

Because of his idiocy, Husni Mubarak has enraged the Islamic sentiments of all the Muslims in Egypt and in the entire world, by using the Mabath to chase the Christian women who accepted Islam and hand them over to be put inside the crypts of torture in the monasteries and Churches of Pope Shenouda. He is still provoking the Islamic conscience of all the Muslims by the campaign of torture and punishment that was created by the Mabath of this ruling regime. Its victims and martyrs started to fall, one after the other, in order to satisfy the Orthodox Church and the USA. The first martyr - as we consider him- Mister Hilal (Is his name Hilal or Bilal? Please check and make sure which name is right) God's mercy be upon him, and God knows who will be the last one.

I ask Allah to encompass martyr -as we consider him- Hilal, with his grace, and all the martyrs who died during the regime of the biggest Arab Zionists. I present my condolences to the family of martyr -as we consider him- Mister Hilal (Please delete this sentence because it was repeated many times), and to all martyrs who died during the campaign of the corrupt Egyptian regime which Pope Shenouda pledged allegiance to it in the last elections of the Presidency.

The third element that participated in igniting and escalating the crisis is the Shaykhdom of al-Azhar (The Islamic university) that was imposed on its lions (Scholars) by the force of the state and its threats. This Shaykhdom has transformed the employees of the office of disseminating Islam into informants for the Mabahith of the regime, which made the institutions of the security agencies to serve the Orthodox Church.

This Shaykhdom, which was imposed on the scholars of al-Azhar, has clearly violated the laws in the Qur'an, as Allah be exalted says: (O those who believe, if faithful women came to you, you should examine their loyalty though Allah is knowledgeable of their faith, and if you confirmed their faithfulness

(Page 10 of 18)

don't turn them back to the disbelievers), and the Shaykhdom of al-Azhar - which is subordinated to the Mabahith of the State - says: "Return them to the disbelievers" and Allah be exalted says: (Muslim women are not Halal for the disbelievers, and the disbelievers are not Halal for the Muslim women), but the Shaykhdom of al-Azhar - which receives the orders from the state security officers - says: " Muslim women are Halal for the disbelievers, and the disbelievers are Halal for them".

The fourth element that participated in escalating this crisis are the two corrupted devices which are the prosecution and the judiciary departments, which practice their authorities on poor people and on the Islamic trends, as well as participate in falsifying the election while keeping silent about the complaints and reports about the death of Wafa' Qustantine, and the disappearance of her sisters inside the crypts of torture in the Orthodox Church.

The fifth element that participated in escalating this crisis is the US administration, by its outrageous behavior in supporting the Orthodox Church, and encouraging it to be empowered by the USA and work towards the separation. The reports of the US Department of State about human rights and freedom of religion ignore the female detainees of the Orthodox Church and what is going on inside it, and the fate of the males and females who accepted Islam, the number of whom no one knows except Allah.

All these elements daringly and recklessly participated in provoking the Islamic sentiment of all Muslims, and they treated it as if it is a dead body that cannot move, and a thing that has no life.

This fiery Islamic feeling that was insulted was immense and more widespread and larger than al-Qa'ida or the Mujahidin. People who protested were not pushed by al-Qa'ida (Footnote 20), the propagandists who were angry were not being pushed by al-Qa'ida, and the writers and media officials who wrote and spoke about this issue did not collaborate with al-Qa'ida (Footnote 22).

Therefore, I address my statement to whoever is rational from the Coptic Orthodox and I say to them: Is there any rational man among you? What are the reasons for all these provocations to the Muslims? Aren't we neighbors (Aren't you neighbors to the Muslims in one country?) why do the leaders of your Church and some of your brothers have their power from the American occupiers, who will leave like the French who left, and teacher Ya'qub left with them? We lived with you and we currently live and will live with you forever until Allah determines (This sentence has many repeated words and I suggest editing it to the following if it is important: We lived with you and will live until Allah wants. Allah ordered us... ) As Allah almighty ordered us to differentiate between who is against us from non-Muslims and who is with us, so why you do stand on the side of who is against us?

We do not want to start a war against you, and I repeated this more than one time in my statements and in the book (Exoneration), we are busy with our battle against the Crusader West, the Zionists and their collaborators, why do you put yourself with them? And Shaykh Usama Bin Ladin, May Allah preserve him, confirmed this before.

(Page 11 of 18)

We did not ask you to help us in expelling the Western invader and the Zionists from our country, but at least do not strengthen yourselves with them against us. What do you benefit from hurting Muslims' feeling? You are threatened in Egypt and all over the world, do you expect to be protected by America or the West, and they cannot even protect themselves, and they are packing up and ready to leave Iraq and Afghanistan?

Isn't there any one of you who is rational and wise, to stop your brothers and warn them of the consequences of seeking the help of the Americans and the West against the Muslims? This is my advice to you therefore take it before it is too late.

My advice also to the Muslims in Egypt especially, and all Muslim countries generally, is to take two issues into their consideration:

First: To differentiate between the Christians as the Qur'an explained to them. Allah be exalted says:

God only forbids you, with regard to those who fight you for (your) Faith, and drive you out of your homes, and support (others) in driving you out, from turning to them (for friendship and protection). It is those that turn to them (in these circumstances) that do wrong.

Therefore, there are some Christians who are very keen to improve their relations with the Muslims, and there are some Christians who are not accepting the Christian and Jewish occupation of the Arab and Muslim countries. There are also some Christians who are resisting this occupation regardless of their incentives, and there are some Christians who are pure Arab and their race prevents them from accepting the occupation by the Jews of Palestine and the American existence in Arab and Muslim countries (And they are friendly with the Muslim Arab from their tribes or families). There are also some Christians who are proud of their Arab origin, and of Prophet Muhammad as one of the greatest Arabs, and of mankind, prayers and peace upon him.

As one of them says: Assert belief that Allah is the only God, as the caller for prayers affirmed that, and with the anniversary (holiday) of Prophet Muhammad I chant. It is enough for Arabs to be proud that they are descended from a Prophet who is Prophet Muhammad.

We cannot equalize the above mentioned types of Christians - according to the orders of the Noble Qur'an- and those who present themselves as collaborators to the Americans and Jews, and who seek their help and strength against the Muslims, and who attack and mistreat the Muslims.

The other issue that I advise the Muslims to take it into their consideration is that: We are the group of Jama'at Qa'idat al-Jihad, and most of the trends of Jihad (I suggest deleting this sentence), we have reached a conclusion indicating that the Zionist-American enemy who is attacking our religion, countries and holy places, is the most deserving to concentrate our efforts in defeating it and its collaborators who assist it, because if this aggressive enemy is defeated

(Page 12 of 18)

his collaborators and whoever seeks his help and strength will be defeated - God's willing-. Therefore, we should focus our efforts on fighting this belligerent Zionist-American enemy and his collaborators.

I repeat and summarize what I have mentioned regarding this issue as a reminder, and I say:

We are the group of Jama'at Qa'idat al-Jihad; claim no responsibility for the explosion that took place at the All Saints Church in Alexandria (Maybe it is better to delete this sentence and leave what was written in the beginning of the statement).

The people who are responsible for escalating the situation are Reverend Shenouda, his collaborators, the Egyptian government, the Egyptian Mabahith, the Shaykhdom of al-Azhar which is subordinate to the Egyptian government and the security agencies, the corrupt and weak departments of prosecution and judiciary, as well as USA and whoever is behind them.

We do not want to start a battle with the Christians, as we are busy fighting the Zionist-American invader and its collaborators. I advise whoever is rational from the Christians to stop their foolish ones before it is too late.

Finally, I would like to remind Pope Shenouda of an important geographic truth, which is that Srebrenica (Serbia) is not in Egypt, and I am satisfied with this part in this series.

Last of our prayers is praise to Allah, the God of all mankind, and prayers and peace upon our Prophet Muhammad, his family and friends (Footnote 23).

(Footnote 1) I suggest adding at the beginning, the chanting that I sent to you in a file titled (Bushrayat).

(Footnote 2) Here I suggest printing on the screen -with narration of the narrator- the following:

"Emir al-Mu'minin al-Mullah Muhammad 'Umar Mujahid -May Allah preserve him- said in a statement addressed in Eid al-Fitr in 2008: I repeat my abiding recommendation one more time, that you



should stand strong like the steel against the enemy, and take all precautions in front of the public and your innocent people, avoid conducting operations that result in injuring the public. All of your operations should follow the guidance of Allah and the path of Prophet Muhammad and avoid -forever- taking quick, single and impulse decisions.

You should prohibit all types of actions executed by your enemy under your name, which are not following the Islamic law, and not appropriate with the Islamic education or refinement, and the values of the Islamic society, such as the explosions that took place inside the mosques and crowded squares, or plundering people's money by using quick ways, or cutting the nose or ear of one individual and calling him "transgressor", whereas Islam calls it an "exemplary punishment" and considers it as illegal work, or burning the religious book, or whatever action resembles it. Whoever does these irresponsible actions is outside of our structures, and they should reveal themselves and their faces and not insult our Mujahidin.

In most of these operations, our enemies have involvement, therefore, you should be wise and take precaution."

Article 65 of the rules (regulations) of the Islamic Emirate states the following: "All the Mujahidin of the governorates and directorates, heads of the groups, and the groups of Jihad in general are to take more precaution, as much as possible, against killing or harming innocents and the public, and not approach their private vehicles and money, and if negligence was proved and no precautions were made regarding this issue, then each one is responsible according to this issue- and he should be punished at the same level which he committed the crime."

(Page 13 of 18)

Article 66 of the above mentioned rules states the following: "If any one of the officials or anyone uses the name of the Mujahidin and harmed the public, therefore the duty of the higher official authority is to stop this official from harming the public, and if the higher official authority failed to prevent this individual from harming the public, then the Command (leadership) of the Islamic Emirates should be informed through the official governor, and the leadership will punish this individual with what is appropriate or will dismiss him from the lines of the Mujahidin if it thinks it is necessary".

Article 78 of the above mentioned regulations states the following: "The Mujahidin are obliged to deal with all people according to the good Islamic morals, work on bringing people close to them, and represent the Islamic Emirate, so all the people will welcome them and offer them assistance and aid.

(Footnote 3) Here I suggest the following:

A- The commentator should say: "Shaykh Usama Bin Ladin -May Allah preserve him- says in his message to the Muslim nation in late NOV or early DEC 2003:

B- Then the following statement should be voiced by the Shaykh then printed on the screen, as it was shown on the videotape (The Maze and the Exit) from minute 45:5 to the minute 45:41 of the video: "Before I end the statement, I urge the youth of Islam to join Jihad - especially in Palestine and Iraq- and I advise them and myself to be patient and pious, fight the enemy with all the strength, avoid harming the Muslims during the fight, and to be careful not to expand in using the method of 'Human Shields' which should be determined by the loyal experts according to each separate operation, as we ask Allah to grant us victory by patience and piety, and consider us as patient and strong.'

C- Then the narrator says "He -May Allah preserve him- also said in his statement: (To the people of Iraq especially and the Muslims generally) in JUN 2004."

D- Then the following paragraph should be printed on screen and voiced by the Shaykh from the tape of (The Fourth Message to the People of Iraq) from minute 1:27 to minute 1:27 (sic): "At the end I advise the Mujahidin and myself to fear Allah secretly and publicly, read the Qur'an, pray and supplicate to Allah, and I advise myself and you to be patient, avoid treachery, as each betrayer has a banner posted for him on judgment day. I warn you and I warn you again from killing the innocents, except when there is necessity like the issue of using human shields, but without expansion, which should be determined by the Mujahidin who are religiously knowledgeable, as we are seeking the victory of Allah by obeying his law and avoid committing sins."

(Footnote 4) Here I suggest the following:

A- You should add on the screen the cover of the message of Shaykh 'Atiyah (Advice and sympathies about the explosions that took place at the markets).

B- Then the narrator should say: "Shaykh 'Atiyah - May Allah preserve him- said in his answer about the judgment on being happy about the explosion that took place in Peshawar."

C- Then the narrator should read the following paragraph that should be also printed on screen:

"It is right to be happy for these types of bombings (such as the bombing that took place in Peshawar market), and it is not right to rejoice for the injury of innocent people who were injured in their country and their market, but we should denounce it and believe that it is wrong, it is aggression, it is corruption, it is not fair and it is against the pure Islamic law, and that whoever conducts these types of operations, does not believe in Allah and the day of judgment, and is not being Mujahid for the sake of Allah. But if the Muslims have reactions and feelings toward these operations, then these feelings should be sadness, grief, and regret, as we belong to Allah, and we are returning to him."

(Footnote 5) Here I suggest the following:

A- The narrator should say: Shaykh Yahya al-Libi -May Allah preserve him- said in his book "Human Shields in contemporary Jihad."

B- Then the cover of the book should appear on screen, and I attached it to you.

(Page 14 of 18)

C. Then the narrator should read the following section from the book, which should also be printed on screen: "The Mujahidin should look at each military operation that they are conducting, and study it closely, as it might result in injuring some of the Muslims., and it should include many issues such as:

- Determining and weighing the significance of the target in question i.e. military, political, or economic target.

- Choosing the appropriate time and location for the operation as much as possible, and exerting all efforts checking how far this location is from the residential, passing and public traffic areas, and avoiding rush hours.

- Limiting the amount of weapons or the IEDs that will be used to execute the operation, which will decrease the chance of injuring some of the Muslims which is an extremely important issue.

- Conducting a real and accurate comparison between the damage that will be inflicted on the target when attacked and between the damage that will affect the Muslims who will get injured from executing this operation, whether from the perspective of the number of the people who are killed from the side of the enemy or from the perspective of their acknowledgment and their understanding to the circumstance of the operation and its importance and something like that.

- If reaching the target without conducting this type of operation is difficult and impossible, so that some Muslims should be killed.

- Preventing killing Muslims intentionally, so that the intentions should be directed towards only killing who is wanted from the infidels, by swearing in the heart not to kill anyone from the Muslims in this operation.

It is obvious that we should conduct investigations and precautions regarding each operation separately, and consider these issues and other issues that prevent injuring Muslims, or narrows the chances of getting them injured.

(Footnote 6) Here I suggest the following:

A- The narrator should say: "Ayman al-Zawahiri said in his statement titled 'Victorious nation and defeated Crusader- Nine years since the starting of the Crusader Campaign,' addressed in SEP 2010."

B- Then the following section should be printed on the screen and read by the narrator from minute 40:45 to minute 41:48: "I confirm to my brothers the Mujahidin in all locations that the real victory is in abiding by the law of Islam, and not to neglect applying it, therefore the Mujahidin should take care of Muslim blood, and their operations should be according to the Islamic law, and they should study each operation separately, and they should also deny responsibility for any operation that was executed by a Jihad group that does not care for the safety of the Muslims, as we did not leave our homes and countries, and we did not sacrifice ourselves but to satisfy Allah and grant victory to his law and rules of his religion.

(Footnote 7) I suggest printing the following on the screen:

First question: What is the reality?

It is the reality of deviating from Islam, as there are:

1- The ideological corruption.

A- The ruling regime in Egypt: Secular, democratic, tyrannical and jingoistic.

B- How did this regime arise?

(5) The British occupation and how it used to rule Egypt?  
Nominal independence and actual dependency.

A) Ruining the legislative system.

(Page 15 of 18)

(1) Secularization of laws.

(2) 1923 Constitution

(Footnote 8) I suggest printing the following on the screen:

First question: What is the reality?

It is the reality of deviating from Islam as there are:

2- Corruption of ideologies.

C- The ruling regime in Egypt: Secular, democratic, tyrannical and biased.

D- How did this regime arise?

(5) The British occupation and how it used to rule Egypt?  
Nominal independence and actual dependency.

B- Granting Egypt fake independence

(1) Subordination to the Ottoman Empire, and absolute British administration

(Footnote 9) You can put the picture of Kitchener here with Husni Mubarak's picture, and I am attaching to you the picture of Kitchener in a file titled (Picture of Kitchener).

(Footnote 10) Here, I suggest the following:

A- The commentator should read the following text, which should be also printed in Arabic on the screen, followed by showing copy of page number (4) from the report of the Congress in English: The report contains the following statement about 11 SEP 2001:

"The nineteen men were on board four planes crossing the continent, and they were planning to kidnap these planes and convert them to huge directed rockets, filled with 11,400 gallons of jet fuel. Around 8:00 on Tuesday morning on 11 SEP 2001 they defeated all the security levels of the anti-hijacking US civil aviation security system."

B- Then the narrator should read the following text, which should be also printed in Arabic on the screen, followed by showing copy of page number (245) from the report of the Congress in English: The report contains the following statement about 11 SEP 2001:

"Muhammad 'Atta told Bin al-Shibh that he chose the planes that fly long trips, because they are usually filled with large amounts of fuel, and that he wants to hijack the Boeing planes because they are easier to operate than the Airbus planes, which have the autopilot that prevents the plane from crashing into the ground".

(Footnote 11) Here, I suggest the following:

A- The commentator should say: "At the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, Lord Kitchener wrote the following about the South of Sudan:"

B- Then the following text should be printed on screen and read by the narrator: "There is no doubt that the people of this country welcome Islam, therefore if the Christian power did not strengthen its control in Africa, I think the Arabs will take this step and have authority over the center of the continent, which will enable them to expel all the cultural influence to the coast, and this country will fall under this slavery".

(Footnote 12) Here, I suggest printing the following on the screen:

The first question: What is the reality?

A- Corruption of ideologies.

B- How did this regime arise?

(5) The British occupation and how did it rule Egypt? Nominal independence and actual dependency.

(B) Granting Egypt a fake independence.

(1) Nominal Ottoman subordination and actual British administration.

(Page 16 of 18)

(2) Declaration of protection and separation of Egypt from the Ottoman Empire.

(Footnote 13) Here I suggest printing the following on the screen:

The first question: What is this reality?

C- Corruption of ideologies.

D- How did this regime arise?

(5) The British occupation and how did it rule Egypt? Nominal independence and actual dependency.

(B) Granting Egypt a fake independence.

(1) Nominal Ottoman subordination and actual British administration.

(2) Declaration of protection and separation of Egypt from the Ottoman Empire.

(3) Declaring Egypt an independent Kingdom.

(Footnote 14) Here I suggest printing the following on the screen:

The first question: What is this reality?

E- Corruption of ideologies.

F- How did this regime arise?

(5) The British occupation and how did it rule Egypt? Nominal independence and actual dependency.

(B) Granting Egypt a fake independence

(1) Nominal Ottoman subordination and actual British administration.

(2) Declaration of protection and separation of Egypt from the Ottoman Empire.

(3) Declaring Egypt an independent Kingdom.

(4) 1936 agreement.

(Footnote 15) Here I suggest printing the following on the screen:

The first question: What is this reality?

A-Corruption of ideologies.

B-How did this regime arise?

(5) The British occupation and how did it rule Egypt? Nominal independence and actual dependency.

(C) Finding the national State.

(1) Fragmenting the Caliphate State.

(2) Establishing the national jingoistic State in Egypt.

(A) Revolution of al-Sharif (The Noble) Husayn against the Ottoman Empire in 1916.

(B) Sykes-Picot agreement in 1916.

(C) Protection agreements with the Shaykhdoms of the Gulf and 'Abd-al-'Aziz Al Sa'ud.

(D) Extracting Palestine from the Ottoman Empire, and giving it to the Jews.

(Footnote 16) Here I suggest that the narrator should read the following text that should be printed on screen:

"On 02 NOV 1917, Arthur James Balfour, the British Foreign Minister, issued his promise that stated the following:

(Page 17 of 18)

"The government of his majesty takes into its consideration with all sympathy to establish a national home for the Jews in Palestine, and it will exert its efforts to facilitate the achievement of this goal."

I am attaching Balfour's picture with his agreement in a file titled "Balfour's Promise"



(Footnote 17) Here I suggest printing on the screen the following:

The first question: What is this reality?

A- Corruption of ideologies

B- How did this regime arise?

(5) The British occupation and how did it rule Egypt? Nominal independence and actual dependency.

(C) Finding the national state.

(A) Maintaining the formal relation with the Ottoman Empire with actual control over Egypt.

(B) Separating Egypt from the Ottoman Empire in 1914.

(C) Separating Egypt from Sudan by imposing the bilateral regime in Sudan in 1898.

(D) Separating the South of Sudan from its North by the law of (Closed Zones).

(Footnote 18) Here I suggest the following:

(A) The commentator should say: "Mahdi Khalil wrote the following: 'The demand for practicing international pressures on the nations in order to protect the rights of its nationals and respect the international agreements is a legal issue, internally and externally and there is no wonder about it, as we live in the era when a State has no sovereignty, and this is one of the most important side of the phenomena of universalism.' "

(B) Then you should add the following section from what Husam Abu al-Bukhari said about the Christians seeking the help of the Americans, from a video titled (Sister Kamilyah and Muslim women inside the Church's prison) from minute 2:41 to minute 3:47, starting from when he said "Bin Kuthayr said:" to the point when he said "They think he will grant them victory," and I am attaching the tape to you.

(Footnote 19) Here I suggest that you add the following section from what the female reporter and Majdi Khalil said with Patriarch Aghabious, from a video titled (Patriarch Aghabious confirms that Kamilyah has converted to Islam) from minute 5:26 to minute 7:58 from what the reporter said: "Our father, in this case" to what Patriarch Aghabious said "Therefore, we should wash the brain that was being washed." Of course, you will hide the face of the female reporter, and you will print on the screen the name of Majdi Khalil while he is talking, and the title of the female reporter should be written as "Reporter of al-Karmah Channel", and the name of Patriarch Aghabious should

be printed as: "Patriarch Aghabious, the archbishop of Mawas monastery", and I am attaching the video with Allah's assistance.

(Footnote 20) Here I suggest adding what was in the video of (Kamilyah Shahattah on Al Jazeera) from minute 6:40 to the point when Fahmi Huwaydi said: (As if it is something like a state within a state). Despite the fact that Fahmi Huwaydi is against the Mujahidin generally, and al-Qa'ida and Taliban specifically, he confirms what we concluded, which is "The Church is a State within a State", and of course you should hide the scenes of women, and I attach the video to you. I ask Allah to grant you success for the best.

(Footnote 21) Here I suggest adding parts from the speech of Shaykh Abu Ishaq al-Huwayni from the tape of (Kamilyah Shahattah, comments of Shaykh Ishaq al-Huwayni (2\_2)):

A- From the point when he said "I want to understand" to the point when he said "This is my last religion" from minute 1:02 to minute 2:27.

B- From the point when he said "Or what did he say" to the point when he said "They brought her on a satellite TV channel" from minute 2:51 to minute 3:42.

C- From the point when he said "But we know that our sisters" to the point when he said "With the anger of the Public" from minute 4:05 to minute 5:28.

D- From the point when he said "Now when you ask us" to the point when he said "It became public sedition" from minute 5:51 to minute 6:10.

E- From the point when he said "But if he let go, the issue will not be mentioned" to the point when he said "It is not new" from minute 7:20 to minute 7:46.

You should write the following on the picture: (Shaykh Abu Ishaq al-Huwayni) and I am attaching the tape to you, and Allah grant the success for all the best.

(Page 18 of 18)

(Footnote 22) Here, I suggest adding what Jamal Sultan mentioned in a video titled (Moment of coercion in a video for the lady who looks like Kamilyah) from minute 5:02 to minute 5:31 from

the point when he said "There are public accusations" to the point when he said "The accusation is true", and the following note should be printed on the screen: (Jamal Sultan, editing advisor of (al-Misriyyun) electronic newspaper) and I am sending the video to you.

(Footnote 23) I suggest adding, at the end of the series, the anthem that I attached to you in a file titled (O oppression buckle up, leave and go away 2) along with photograph of Mubarak and his son. It would be nice to add a photograph that showed members of the police striking the Egyptian public, and there are pictures that show the demonstrators in Egypt being beaten in the beginning of a video titled (Sacrifices of Gaza and the conspiracies), and it also has at its end two pictures of Mubarak. I ask Allah to grant you success for all the best.