

(Page 1 of 12)

In summary the plan has three phases:

By exhausting the greatest enemy, whose eagerness is to overthrow the countries and terminate the movements, such as the movements in Syria and the Emirate in Afghanistan. Let us not allow the enemy to lead the Ummah into unnecessary disasters and adversities that we cannot bear. Those who understand the situation know that, and the most important thing here is the Truth, which the majority, God willing, will hold to. It is in our interest to stick to, and not skip, the steps and stages.

After we exhaust the greatest enemy, we then will start exhausting the local enemy, and then we start building the State later, God willing. We must first build an impervious embankment in a suitable location before we start building on the edges of the valley, in order to prevent a flood from destroying the homes.

You once mentioned in a previous letter saying, "If you ever wished to control/have Sanaa, now is the time!" It is no secret that we want to implement our religion in Sanaa and the rest of the Islamic countries by defeating the enemy and establishing the State and making sure we also are capable of safekeeping. What should have been asked to be more precise is, "If you ever wished to control Sanaa, Riyadh, Cairo, Amman, and the rest of the Gulf and the Syrian countries, now is the time!"

We should completely forget about having only part of the region. It is true that the borders which now appear on the map of the region are based on that of Sykes-Picot, which is also approved and acknowledged by the rulers. Nevertheless, by the time the Islamic State is established, all those borders will be dissolved, and then the global and local infidels will rally to strike it, in an attempt to eliminate the enemy that is threatening them.

(Page 2 of 12)

Example: If there are people in Al Bayda', As Suwadiyah, Lawdar and Ash Shaqra', who sympathize with the Mujahidin, and are capable of besieging the government buildings and are able to declare the Emirate in those regions, how many days do you think this Emirate will last?!

No doubt that the wise persons know that such a situation will not last for long, because the chances are that country is going to defeat them through toppling this blockade and the spread of hunger, and negotiation with the tribal Shaykhs would take place to fight us or expel us.

Let us keep in mind that, by mentioning the Arabic Societies, most of them are ruled by modern countries, and they no longer represent the old Islamic ones. It was the mission of the state to apply the provisions of Shari'ah to the public, preserve internal security, and resist external attacks. When there is security, people freely pursue their livelihood, while the modern state makes people its prisoner, by allowing them to perceive that the state is obligated to provide them with livelihood and jobs, and that is something we cannot do at this stage.

So, this is an essential difference, for example, we should not attempt to control just because we have the military power to do so, while we still do not have the power to sustain the people in their livelihood, yet.

(Page 3 of 12)

As for Afghanistan and Somalia, they are the exceptions. The total expenditures of the Afghan Emirate are twelve million dollars per year, which would make it impossible for twenty-five million people to live on that, which means each individual will get half a dollar per year.

The Afghan people sustain themselves and do not overload the government with huge responsibilities, unlike the people of the Arab Nation, who hold the government responsible for providing them with their livelihood and jobs.

One of the examples of this would be Al-Jama'at al-Islamiyya in Egypt, when they killed al-Sadat. Their plan was to declare the Islamic State in Egypt, after having their people control various government buildings in their various locations, including the media establishments of all sorts.

If the plan had succeeded, this State would only have lasted for a few weeks, because at that time, the population of Egypt was sixty million, and daily they consumed one-hundred-fifty million loaves of bread, and here we are only talking about bread. Meanwhile, an international blockade would have been enforced against the Islamic State, at the time where the farming

priorities had changed with the Egyptian farmer, because the Egyptian Government had agreed to become a hostage to the wheat exporters, particularly the United States. The wheat was no longer the farmer's priority, and the government's silos of wheat stored only two weeks' worth of wheat supplies. This would have then meant that within a few days the Islamic State would have faced a tremendous revolution from the people, whether they liked and desired to be governed by Islam or not; because they would have had to bear more than they could tolerate, and it would have meant either their death or the death of their children before their eyes.

(Page 4 of 12)

There are certain components to be considered as a preparation to control Egypt, the most important one is to control Sudan first, and plant it with enough wheat to sustain Egypt, while making sure that the two weeks' worth of wheat in the silos is enough to sustain the people until we bring the food from Sudan to Egypt.

Based on the above discussion, in the mean time, we should not establish an Islamic State within the Islamic World. Sanaa today is like Al Bayda', because all of the Gulf countries, Jordan and Egypt will besiege and strike it, and then will negotiate with the tribes.

There is an important issue I would like to mention, namely that America and the Gulf countries have already tried to change the regime of 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih, because to them it seemed that he was incompetent. They know very well the extent of his administrative and financial corruption, which contributed to fertile ground for various parties to spread their views and thoughts, and the most important and strongest among them all is the Islamic trend, including all of its factions, such as the brotherhood, Sururi, Salafi, and the Salafi Jihadists.

(Page 5 of 12)

In other words, this regime is less damaging than that of 'Ali Salim al-Bid, who has his own history for killing the Muslims. If he were to take over the government, he will kill all of those Islamic factions, starting with the Mujahidin.

Then, it is not true to say, "Removing the apostate government and keeping the country in chaos would be better than keeping this apostate government." This is not healthy, our call cannot

be spread when there is chaos. In addition, if a country does not have a ruler who is capable of providing security for the people, then their savagery will become apparent, and safe-guarding the blood and honor will be their only concern.

It is not a secret that the regional countries would leave the country without a government, they will support the Southern Movement Socialist Party, which is against Islam, which is mobilized against Islam. So by allowing such a thing, we would have then provided the right atmosphere for bringing in a power for which our enemy has been waiting, for more than a decade and a half, and had previously tried very hard to bring on. Having the socialists only means that it will be a disaster for the Muslims in Yemen, especially the Mujahidin. Imagine then how it is going to be if they have America and all the Gulf countries supporting them!

In short, the Yemeni situation is like a rock that holds lots of precious stones and minerals, which began to decline from the top of the mountain, and no one would be able to obtain them, because it is going to crush them and continue to decline to the bottom of the valley.

(Page 6 of 12)

The situation of this opportunity is, people are rushing toward a government supported by the regional countries. If 'Ali Salim al-Bid has a dark history of shedding the blood of the Yemeni people, there will not be a lack of regional governments presenting another face of the masters of Hadramawt, who do not have a history of shedding the blood of the Yemeni people, and the people will go along with it.

In short, our interest is wrapped up with 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih there, because we then are able to spread our effective and important ideas that educate people about the meaning of "No God but Allah", and alert them against those Islamic Groups that are loyal to the rulers, those groups whose ideologies contradict the Shari'ah and its jurisdiction. The most important book regarding the subject would be the book titled "The Focal Points," by Abu-Ahmad 'Abd-al-Rahman al-Masri.

Therefore, we should work to minimize our military operations and concentrate more on educating and raising the awareness of the people, to support getting rid of the administrative and the financial corruption; so that people have the right to ask for their rights. We should participate with people by providing

constructive and objective criticism. We also should avoid hammering 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih as being a stooge who is implementing what the Saudi royals order him to do. The talk that the president is subordinate to the Western politics is true, but our core rhetoric should be about our desire to fight those who are besieging our families in Gaza. Besides, the president is really not a full president, he has been an unlikable stooge for the last three decades, and our enemy attempts to get rid of him. A decade and a half ago, the American-Saudi rope was about to be wrapped around his neck to hang him; and if we try to get rid of him at this time, we will be contributing in bringing the worst stooges, who will attack the Islamic Jihadist Movement. In this phase, our work should only be focused on defending ourselves and explaining to the people that we want to lift oppression from the whole Ummah and from our families in Palestine, lift the local oppression against the Muslims in Yemen, and let our words correspond with those of the people, and show them that we are eager to have stability in Yemen.

(Page 7 of 12)

Regarding the truce, the president desires it, and it is in our interest that it happens -even if it is one-sided- unless we have to defend ourselves. We should broadcast and publish that we are not after the army or the police, but after America. At the same time, I prefer that we should probably consider not attacking America inside Yemen, because such a thing would then increase the pressure on our brothers, due to increasing pressure on the government by America to intensify the military operations against them, which will also accelerate the replacement of the president.

I see that the brothers contact the scholars and the Shaykhs and discuss the matter with them, and they embarrass the president. He is susceptible to embarrassment, and he always repeats his remark about the Huthis "We chose peace and they chose war." They say to him "Those are our children, and peace with them is a priority." He might say "They do not want peace," and they will say "We will go to them and convince them." Then the brothers say before the people that the scholars and the tribal Shaykhs say "Escalation is not in the best interest in this phase; the country is under tremendous pressure, the most important of which is the war with the Huthis, and we will be consulting the general leadership."

The Movement and the great countries do not want us.

(Page 8 of 12)

I would appreciate it if you would provide us with the percentage of committed youth, and the percentage of those among them who believe in Jihad.

- Leave us alone and leave our countries.

- The continuation of media appearances and threats would fuel the situation more, and I would suggest that we hide a bit and be careful.

- Burdening the tribes more than they can bear... the situation in Waziristan is more than the tribes can bear, here we are not talking about an actual land war... our brothers in Waziristan are burdened, and they blankly said: "the aerial shelling is weighing us down." Let us be clear on this, even if we had an army of millions, and the enemy got partial international approval to strike anyone who opposes him in the name of al-Qa'ida. It is possible that a time comes, in which penetrating airspaces will not be available... like the saying "The situation is hot and tense now, and the reputation of the enemy is declining, he then is not going to care how he strikes".

We already exhausted the enemy in Iraq and Afghanistan, and if we are to be engaged again in a new battle front whose components are not complete or clear yet; the enemy might be able then to exhaust us instead of us exhausting him.

- As for your request to appoint Shaykh Anwar al-'Awlaqi as the Emir of al-Qa'ida in Yemen, we are still studying the situation. I would appreciate it if you would send me his detailed biography.

(Page 9 of 12)

The one that you have, and on which you based your nomination. For instance, here we trust the people after we send them to the front line and test them.

(At this time, we do not want to do anything that might weaken 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih, because his successor, who is supported regionally and internationally, is worse than him, which would mean that we prepared the desired atmosphere for our enemies in their desire to bring a substitute...)

The decision on something derives from the way it is perceived; we should understand the position of 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih, which will help us to decide how to deal with it.

We have enemies at various levels, and it is beneficial to estimate the animosity level of each of them, in order for us to decide on how to deal with them accordingly.

The animosity of the polytheist Jews is more than the others, and the animosity of the polytheists is of different levels too, and it varies based on circumstances, time, and place. At this time, the most intense animosity is that of the Safavids, because they support the Zionist-Crusader alliance, and hold their banner.

'Ali 'Abdallah Salih is not a voluntarily stooge, and he is not completely convinced in what he is doing, but he is forced to do it. His situation goes with the saying, "Your brother is forced and is not a champion", assuring here that even this coercion is not acceptable by the Shari'ah.

To make it easier, let us take Pervez and the Pakistani Army as an example, they delayed the US for nine years, and today Zardari announces publicly that the world is on its way to losing its war against Taliban, and 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih is going to play the same role, but with less intensity.

(Page 10 of 12)

It should be noted that in Yemen there is another entity that is much harsher than us, and that is 'Ali Salim al-Bid and his socialist gang, so the problem of 'Abdallah Salih is much easier.

- Assuming that they will bring him (al-Bid) or another stooge with convictions to eliminate al-Qa'ida in Yemen. If this person happens to be a rational one, he will stall in chasing al-Qa'ida and he will not eliminate it immediately, but he will use it as collateral to create a livelihood, so the West will always be needing him; the same way that Pervez did with the Mujahidin in Pakistan... he prolonged for months that lasted for years, in spite of the intense pressure of the West and their VIPs from the United States and Europe, particularly Britain. He kept stalling them by providing them with the minimum. So, if we were to propose a truce with him (Salih) he will consider it... one of the two solutions, either he will agree publically just to embarrass the Shaykhs, or he will tell the United States that he

is going to continue his fight against the brothers, and he will do that but mildly, just to take the pressure off him. Or, he might not announce it publicly, to avoid been pressured by them to eliminate al-Qa'ida; and at the same time try to find a trusted liaison between him and the Mujahidin, and agree with them secretly on the truce and decrease his attacks on al-Qa'ida.

(Page 11 of 12)

We have to be aware of those who bear hostility against us due to their rooted dogma, which is based on political and financial animosity. It is better then, for the people to lessen this animosity towards us, as much as possible.

Shari'ah does not call on removing an apostate ruler and appointing another apostate, who would be more oppressive and destructive to Muslims.

If we to bring 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih down, in this atmosphere, it would assist in bringing someone who will choose regional and global infidelity, and appoint him on the Muslims of Yemen, which means that we did not abide by the Shari'ah.

All of the major forces of the parties, except the president's party, are against us. If the president is eliminated for any reason -either by killing him or by weakening his party in order to undermine him- it will be from those who had their hands extended to the United States and the Gulf countries. Let me here remind you, and probably you already have heard it too, when Shaykh al-Zandani was asked in a private visit, "what is your objection against the Muslim Brotherhood", he said: "I do not want them to negotiate directly with the United States, and if they want to negotiate, this should take place through the Foreign Ministry of Yemen."

There is an organized force that is likable by the public and our enemies, because it can forgo the major parts of its religion, just for the sake of being in power,

(Page 12 of 12)

because it is an important source of revenue for him. Yesterday the United States doubled its budget for Yemen to become three-hundred million USD, which is about one-fifth of his budget these days. He will then not eliminate al-Qa'ida and abandon

such amount of money, because he knows then that they will thank him and stop their support to him.

You did hear the report of the Committee of Foreign Affairs in the British Parliament, which recommended to cooperate with the Muslim Brotherhood, Hamas, and the Party of Hasan Nasrallah. They excluded al-Qa'ida, because they do not want to negotiate with somebody who references his religion, but they want to negotiate with someone who interprets that renouncing some of his religion is in the overall interest of Islam's missionary calling.